# The m-deficient number of complete bigraphs

Sanjay Roy, Dr. Danappa G.Akka

Abstract— An open problem posed by Aaron and Lewinter in [2] asks whether the m-deficient number interpolates or not. A negative answer of this problem is established in [5]. The counter example in [5] was obtained by characterizing the m-deficient number of complete graph. In

this note another counter example was obtained by characterizing the m-deficient number of complete bipartite  $\begin{bmatrix} K_n & n \\ 1, & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $n_1 \neq n_2$  in a similar way.

**Index Terms**— Key words: Interpolate, deficient number, Degree preserving Subject classification: 05C99 (Graph Theory).

## INTRODUCTION

An integer valued function of on the spanning tree set of a graph G is called interpolating if when ever an Integer k satisfies  $f(T) < k < f(T^1)$  for spanning trees T and T<sup>1</sup>, there exists a spanning tree T<sup>11</sup> such that  $f(T^{11})=k$ . Thus interpolation may be thought of as a discrete analogue of the intermediate value property. A vertex v of Spanning tree T of a graph G is called degree preserving (DP) if  $deg^{V_T} = deg^{V_G}$ . It is shown in [4] that the number of degree preserving vertices interpolate over the spanning tree set of a graph. In [2], the concept of degree preserving is generalized as follows: A vertex v of spanning tree T of a graph G is called m-deficient if  $deg^{V_G} - deg^{V_T} = m$ . Note that a degree preserving vertex v is O-deficient. An integer k is an m-deficient number of graph G if there is a spanning tree T of G such that k=N (G, T, m). That is N (G, T, m) denote the k number of m-deficient vertices in T.

The set of deficiencies of spanning trees of a graph G may differ, it is shown in [2] that the sum of the deficiencies of the vertices of any spanning tree of G is invariant. If G has 'n' vertices and 'e' edges, the sum of the deficiencies in any spanning tree is 2(e-n+1). If G is a planar graph with f faces then by using Euler's planar graph formula the sum of deficiencies is 2(f-1).

An open problem posed by Aaron and Lewinter in [2] asks whether the m-deficient number interpolates or not. A negative answer of this problem is established in [5]. The counter example in [5] was obtained by characterizing the m-deficient number of complete graphs. In this note another counter example was obtained characterizing the m-deficient number of complete bipartite graphs.

# RESULTS

The following Lemma is equivalent to 2.1.10 [3].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n_1}, d_{n_1+1}, \ldots, d_{n_1+n_2}$  be the degree sequence of a graph of order  $n_1+n_2$ . Then there is a spanning tree T of the complete bipartite graph

Kn<sub>1</sub>,n<sub>2</sub> (n<sub>1</sub>  $\neq$  n<sub>2</sub>) with vertex set V={ $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n_1}$ + 1,..., V<sub>n1</sub>+ n<sub>1</sub>} such that deg v<sup>i</sup> = d<sub>i</sub> for 1≤i ≤ n<sub>1</sub>+n<sub>2</sub> if and only if  $\sum_{i = 1}^{n_1+n_2} d_i = 2 (n_1+n_2-1)$ 

The above Lemma 1 is useful to establish the following Theorem 1.

#### Theorem1.

Let m, n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub> be three integers such that  $0 \le m \le n_1+n_2$  -2. Then an integer  $k \ge 0$  is an m-deficient number of  $K_{n_1, n_2}$  if and only if

> $n_1+n_2-2$   $k \leq ----- \qquad k \neq n_1+n_2-3$  $n_i - m-1$

#### Proof.

Obverse that  $K_{n_1}$ ,  $n_2$  is a complete bigraph with degrees n1 and  $n_2$ . If k is an m-deficient number of  $K_{n_1}$ ,  $n_2$  there is a spanning tree T of  $K_{n_1}$ ,  $n_2$  such that there exist exactly k vertices in T with degree  $n_i - m \ge 2$ , i=1 or 2.. Hence we have k  $(n_i - m) + (n_1 + n_2 - k) \le 2(n_1 + n_2) - 2$ .

This means k  $(n_i - m) - k \le (n_1 + n_2) - 2$ That is (1)

If  $k = n_1 + n_2 - 3$  then by (1) and the inequality  $n_i - 2 \ge m_i$ , we deduce  $m = n_i - 2$  or  $n_i - m = 2$ . By Lemma 1, there are positive integers  $d_i \ne 2$  satisfying  $1 \le i \le n_1 + n_2$ -k=3such that

$$2(n_1+n_2-3) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} d_i = 2(n_1+n_2-1)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} 3\\ Hence \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} d_i = 4 \text{ which is impossible since } d_i \neq 2\\ i=1\\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \quad \leq 3 \end{array}$ 

IJSER © 2011 http://www.ijser.org Then consider following two cases

## Case 1.

 $m=n_i-2$ 

In this case,  $k \leq n_1 + n_2 - 2$  and  $k \neq n_1 + n_2 - 3$ . The m-deficient vertices in a spanning tree T are precisely the vertices of degree 2. When  $k=n_1+n_2-2$ , a Hamiltonian path of  $K_{n1,\ n2}$  has k, m-deficient vertices. When  $K \leq n_1+n_2 - 4$ , we get  $d_1=d_2=\ldots=d_k=2,\ d_{k+1}=n_1+n_2-k-1$  and  $d_{k+2}=d_{k+3}=\ldots=d_{n_1}+n_2=1$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} di = 2 \quad (n_1+n_2-1)$$

and, in light of Lemma 1, there is a spanning tree T of  $K_{n_1, n_2}$  such that

k=N ( $K_{n_1,n_2}$ , T; m). Thus k is an m-deficient number of  $K_{n_1,n_2}$ 

## Case 2.

 $m \le n_i$  -3

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{In this case, } k \leq (n_1 + n_2 - 2) \; / \; (n_i - m - 1) < n_1 + n_2 - 3 \\ \text{Let } j = k \; (n_i - m - 2) \; + 2 \; \text{Then } j \leq n_1 + n_2 - k \; \text{let} \\ \textbf{d}_1 = \ldots = \textbf{d}_k = (n_i - m) \geq 2, \; \textbf{d}_{k+1} = \ldots = \textbf{d}_{k+j} \; = 1 \text{and} \; \textbf{d}_{k+j+1} = \ldots = \textbf{d}_{n_1 + n_2 = 2}. \end{array}$ 

Then,

$$\begin{array}{l} n_{1}+n_{2} \\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} = k \; (n_{i}-m)+j+2(n_{1}+n_{2}-k-j) \\ = k \; (n_{i}-m-2) \; - \; j+2(n_{1}+n_{2}) \\ = 2(n_{1}+n_{2}-1) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1, k is an m-deficient number of  $K_{n_1, n_2}$ In the following corollary we given an another counter example is established in similar way in [1]

#### Corollary1.

[1] Let m and n be two integers such that  $0 \le m \le n - 2$ . Then an integer  $k \ge 0$  is an m-deficient number of K <sub>n,n</sub> if and only if 2n - 2  $k \le -----$  and  $k \ne 2n - 3$ n - m - 1

## Corollary 2.

If  $o \le m \le n_i - 3$  then k is an m-deficient number of  $K_{n_1, n_2}$  if and only if

# Corollary 3.

If  $m = n_i - 2$  then k is an m-deficient number of  $\prod_{n_1, n_2}^{n_1, n_2}$  if and only if

 $0 \le k \le n_1 + n_2 - 2$ 

## Corollary 4.

For every positive integer m, the m-deficient number of  $K_{m_1+2}^{m_1+2}$ ,  $m_1+2$  does not interpolate.

#### References

[1] D.G Akka and Nanda S. Warad, The m-deficient number of graphs, Graph Theory Notes of New York, LVI (2009)15-16,

[2] M. Aaron and M. Lewinter, o-deficient vertices of spanning trees, Graph Theory Notes of New York, xxvii : 5, New York Academy of Sciences (1994) 31-32

[3] J.A Bondy and U.S.R. Murthy, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London (1976).

[4] M. Lewinter, Interpolation theorem for the number of degree- preserving vertices of spanning tree, IEEE Tran. Circuits system, Cas-34 (1987)205.

[5] J.Yuan, A note on a problem of Aaron and Lewinter about the m-deficient number of graphs, Graph Theory Notes of New York, xxviii : 9, New York